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GENERAL EXPECTATIONS

- High level participation
- Demonstration of continued political commitment to the treaty
- Comprehensive progress review
- Strong, concrete action plan
- Explore synergies with other conventions
UNIVERSALIZATION

- Several new States Parties
- States not party to the treaty announce interim steps
  - Respect core treaty provisions
  - Vote for UNGA Resolution
  - Submit annual transparency report
- Reinforce the ban norm: No use by states not party or non-state armed groups

STOCKPILE DESTRUCTION

- Completion of stockpile destruction by 2/3 states that missed their 1 March 2008 deadline (Greece and Turkey)
- Completion by Ethiopia (1 June 2009 deadline) and Kuwait (ahead of 1 January 2012 deadline)
- Resolution of major challenges by Belarus and Ukraine
- All states retaining mines show past and planned use or destroy them
MINE CLEARANCE

- “As soon as possible” respected
  - Maximize on-time completion
  - Minimize future extension requests
  - Follow-up on past extensions
- Clarity on mined areas cleared and remaining
- Establish national capacity
- Effective mine risk education

VICTIM ASSISTANCE

- Realistic assessment of progress on VA
- Action-oriented plan to encourage long-term capacity to provide VA
- New national plan of action for post-2009
- Comprehensive and consistent inclusion of survivors and disabled persons’ organizations
ACHIEVING THE CONVENTION’S AIMS”

- New commitments of financial, technical, or in-kind support
- Transparency
  - All initial transparency submitted
  - Much higher % of annual reports submitted for 2008
- National Implementation Measures:
  - Adoption by several more states

“Determined to put an end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines”